

## Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 348

*(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)*

A. D. v. L. D. (Order) . . . . .	901
Ahmed v. Oak Management Corp. . . . .	152
<i>Application to vacate arbitration award pursuant to statute (§ 52-418 (a)); motion to confirm arbitration award; judgment confirming award in favor of defendant; claim that trial court should have vacated arbitration award pursuant to § 52-418 (a) (4) on ground that arbitrator had exceeded his authority insofar as he failed to provide plaintiff with full and fair hearing; claim that arbitrator had no authority to apply fugitive disentitlement doctrine; whether arbitration award should have been vacated pursuant to § 52-418 (a) (3) on grounds that arbitrator had declined to hear pertinent and material evidence and had engaged in prejudicial misconduct by preventing plaintiff from defending himself and pursuing counterclaim, and by reviewing evidence against him; claim that arbitration award violated public policy of fundamental fairness in arbitration proceedings; claim that arbitration award should have been vacated pursuant to certain provisions (9 U.S.C. § 10 (a) (3) and (4)) of Federal Arbitration Act; whether case should be remanded to allow trial court to modify arbitration award pursuant to statute (§ 52-419 (a) (1)).</i>	
Ammar I. v. Dept. of Children & Families (Orders) . . . . .	906, 907
Bank of New York Mellon v. Fisher (Order). . . . .	909
Bank of New York Mellon v. Ruttkamp (Order). . . . .	904
Bank of New York Mellon Trust Co., N.A. v. Rivosa (Order) . . . . .	913
Canales v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	905
Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust 2020-RP2 v. Cichy (Orders). . . . .	912
Cody Real Estate, LLC v. G & H Catering, Inc. (Order) . . . . .	910
Companions & Homemakers, Inc. v. A&B Homecare Solutions, LLC. . . . .	132
<i>Tortious interference with contractual relations; alleged violation of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act (CUTPA) (§ 42-110a et seq.); claim that trial court improperly found that defendant had tortiously interfered with plaintiff's provider enrollment agreement with Department of Social Services on ground that defendant did not owe plaintiff duty to disclose; claim that trial court improperly found that defendant had tortiously interfered with noncompete agreements between plaintiff and its employees; whether evidence was sufficient to support trial court's finding that defendant's tortious conduct caused plaintiff to sustain damages; claim that trial court improperly found that defendant had violated CUTPA.</i>	
Connecticut Housing Finance Authority v. McCarthy (Order). . . . .	911
Crocker v. Commissioner of Correction (Order) . . . . .	911
E & I Investments, LLC v. Hecht (Order) . . . . .	908
Gill v. Center for Nursing & Rehabilitation at Bloomfield HealthCare Center, Inc. (Order) . . . . .	908
In re Caiden B. (Order). . . . .	904
In re Christina C. (Order) . . . . .	907
In re Kyreese L. (Order) . . . . .	901
In re Ryan C. (Order) . . . . .	901
In re Serenity W. (Order). . . . .	902
LendingHome Marketplace, LLC v. Traditions Oil Group, LLC (Order) . . . . .	910
Lippman v. Dept. of Social Services (Order). . . . .	904
Long Manor Owners' Assn., Inc. v. Alungbe (Order) . . . . .	909
Matrix Financial Services Corp. v. Onofrio (Order). . . . .	905
Padula v. Arborio (Order) . . . . .	903
Perdikis v. Klarsfeld (Order). . . . .	903
Reese v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). . . . .	906
Salce v. Cardello. . . . .	90
<i>Probate appeal; appeal to Superior Court from Probate Court's decision declining plaintiff's request to enforce against defendant in terrorem clauses contained in will and trust to which plaintiff and defendant were beneficiaries; certification</i>	

*from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court properly upheld trial court's judgment dismissing plaintiff's probate appeal; whether defendant had violated terms of in terrorem clauses; whether enforcement of in terrorem clauses, which provided that beneficiary would forfeit his or her rights as beneficiary if he or she objected in any manner to any act taken in good faith by any fiduciary, violated public policy.*

Savings Institute Bank & Trust Co. v. Rabon (Order) . . . . .	911
Sease v. Commissioner of Correction (Order). . . . .	905
State v. Butler . . . . .	51

*Trial court's jurisdiction to decide motion to open judgment dismissing criminal charges following defendant's purportedly successful completion of statutory (§ 54-56l) supervised diversionary program for persons with psychiatric disabilities; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court was divested of jurisdiction to decide motion to open when trial court had dismissed defendant's pending criminal charges pursuant to § 54-56l (i); whether statutory (§ 52-212a) "four month rule," which permits trial court to retain jurisdiction over civil judgment for four months after notice of judgment has been sent and to open judgment during that four month period, was applicable in criminal cases; State v. Wilson (199 Conn. 417), to extent that it held that four month rule of § 52-212a applied to criminal judgments, overruled; whether civil rule permitting trial court to open judgment obtained by fraud applied in criminal context; whether record supported finding of fraud or intentional misrepresentation.*

State v. Perez-Lopez (Order) . . . . .	902
State v. Olivero (Order). . . . .	910
State v. Robles . . . . .	1

*Manlaughter first degree with firearm; criminal possession of firearm; illegal possession of weapon in motor vehicle; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to confrontation by allowing chief medical examiner to testify about photographs and report from autopsy that former employee of medical examiner's office had performed; whether chief medical examiner's testimony regarding autopsy report was harmless; claim that evidence was insufficient to support defendant's conviction of illegal possession of weapon in motor vehicle insofar as trial court, in finding that defendant could not have had proper permit for weapon for purposes of charge of illegal possession of weapon in motor vehicle, relied on parties' stipulation that defendant had prior felony conviction, when stipulation was admitted only for purposes of another charge and stipulation was only evidence that defendant had previously been convicted of felony.*

State v. Taveras (Order) . . . . .	903
U.S. Bank Trust, N.A. v. O'Brien (Order). . . . .	909
Wilmington Trust Co. v. Kwakye (Order) . . . . .	913
Wilmington Trust, National Assn. v. Corpuel (Order). . . . .	908
Wolfel v. Wolfel (Order) . . . . .	902